



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/812,359	03/30/2004	Youichi Zenda	001309.00060	4112
22907 7590 04/18/2007 BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD. 1100 13th STREET, N.W. SUITE 1200 WASHINGTON, DC 20005-4051			EXAMINER ING, MATTHEW W	
			ART UNIT 3637	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 04/18/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address : COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------------------------	-------------	---	---------------------

10/812359

EXAMINER

ART UNIT	PAPER
----------	-------


20070416

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

See interview Summary attached.


Jose V. Chen
Primary Examiner

Matthew Ing
Art Unit: 3637

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/812,359	ZENDA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Matthew W. Ing	3637	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) Matthew W. Ing.

(3) _____

(2) Susan Wolfe (Registration No. 33,568).

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 13 April 2007

Time: 1145 hrs

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner informed applicant that the after-final amendment dated 3/28/2007 had been received, and that an Office Action would be forthcoming.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 Jose V. Chen
 Primary Examiner


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)